

Questions for the Cornell Alumni-Elected Trustees

From: Google Forms (forms-receipts-noreply@google.com)

To: pvs6@cornell.edu

Date: Sunday, January 29, 2023 at 01:23 PM EST

Google Forms

Thanks for filling out [Questions for the Cornell Alumni-Elected Trustees](#)

Here's what was received.

Questions for the Cornell Alumni-Elected Trustees

Please post your questions for the current trustees below and we will try to have them answer as many questions as possible during the Trustee Talk on Thursday, February 2.

Email *

pvs6@cornell.edu

Your name *

Paul V. Sheridan

Class Year

1980

What would you like to hear the Trustee candidates talk about? *

The following is dedicated to Mrs. Jummai Nache and her family.

The invitation from AAD claims that the Trustee Talk of 2 February will be “candid.” Prior attempts to discuss with Cornell administrators or trustees the initial and ongoing Day Hall response to COVID-19 has rarely ascended to rudimentary integrity. On that basis, although the wording of your solicitation is appealing, if my submission to this Thursday’s session is even forwarded, and then results in a response approaching a “candid conversation,” such would be welcome but novel. As on many prior occasions, I am willing to try again, not merely declaring but practicing, “to do the greatest good.” The latter does not involve the convenient or the self-serving, but first-and-foremost the whole truth.

For this event I request a narrow focus and offer three questions for BOT response.

Cornell, a premier life sciences institution, is in a uniquely negative position, versus other members of Big Academia, for both subjective and objective reasons. This status pains me, and every day. Briefly: Subjectively, my alma mater is deeply entangled with at least three of the most “controversial” people in human history: Mr. Anthony Fauci, Mr. Bill Gates and Mr. Albert Bourla. In turn, the objective COVID-19 response of Day Hall includes boastful enthusiastic accommodation of these three people.

But it is an accommodation by-omission, a blatant omission, by Day Hall that is the focus of my questions. A review of connections to Day Hall is appropriate, serving as context for my questions; a few links to Cornell-connected screenshots:

https://www.pvsheridan.com/cornell-stayhomecoming_home-page.jpg

https://www.pvsheridan.com/Stayhomecoming-Pollack-Fauci_SS.jpg

<https://www.pvsheridan.com/NYFRAB-Pollack-Bourla.jpg>

Regarding the latter, as the BOT is aware, Ms. Martha Pollack was a member, along-side Mr. Bourla, on the NYFRAB throughout 2020 and 2021.

My questions to the BOT is preambled by the following year-old interview. Note that the instant dedication is the setting, and Cornell is discussed several times:

<https://rumble.com/vqpxyf-beyond-willful-misconduct-bioweapon-victims-demand-shutdown-of-vaxx-program.html>

As I stated @4:15:

“I have interviewed no less than sixty Cornell students who were mandated to take the ‘vaccination’ from either Pfizer or Moderna. None of them knew, and none of them were notified prior to the needle that they had no wherewithal, legal wherewithal, with respect to Pfizer or Moderna prior to accepting the jab. This is ‘willful misconduct’ in the area we call ‘duty to warn.’”

As many BOT members are fully aware, I have already emailed them regarding this and other COVID-19 issues; but none of you responded (in any manner worth discussing). According to the Cornell Board of Trustees (BOT) webpage, quote:

“Pursuant to the university bylaws, the Board of Trustees is vested with ‘supreme control’ over the university, including all of its colleges and other units.”

Cornell University, which boasts both an esteemed medical college and a world-renown law school, failed to inform three groups: its students, staff and faculty. Day Hall did not enact (as a matter of courtesy, formality, and the law) policies that ensured the right to ‘informed consent’ regarding the existence of liability immunity, surreptitiously provided for the “vaccine” manufacturers and the protected groups under the PREP Act. In this setting Day Hall mandated that all three Cornell groups submit to mRNA injection. (The latter, as of this writing, remains in an experimental status, under an extended HHS Emergency Use Authorization, hence the provisions of liability immunity remain intact.) Characteristically, Day Hall instead supplanted informed consent with severe edicts/threats of student expulsion and staff/faculty dismissals in the event of their “failure to comply with the University COVID-19 vaccine mandate.”

The Day Hall failure to ascend to the basics of informed consent goes far beyond merely being self-serving, it constitutes violation of the law; it constitutes criminality ranging from gross criminal negligence to violation of the Nuremberg Medical Tribunals and the Geneva Convention; and everything in-between.

QUESTIONS for Trustee Talk with Corey Ryan Earle '07 Event:

As the University body “vested with supreme control,” and espousing “to do the greatest good,” what role did the BOT play in ensuring that Cornell students, staff and faculty remained in-the-dark, uninformed of COVID-19 “vaccine” liability immunity?

In the alternative, given that ‘informed consent’ regarding liability immunity was never formally implemented on the campus of my alma mater, what role if any did the BOT play in countermanding that Day Hall failure to ensure informed consent, and with who/whom was the countermand issued and when?

In the very near future what actions will the BOT take to ensure that Cornell students, staff and faculty are offered informed consent with respect to the ongoing reality of liability immunity for the COVID-19 "vaccine" manufacturers and the protected groups under the PREP Act?

[Create your own Google Form](#)

[Report Abuse](#)